Call to Order
Chairman Gouker calls the Ogle County Board Meeting to order at 5:32 p.m..

Roll Call
The roll call shows McKinney, Simms (5:45 p.m.) and Welty are absent. The Invocation is given by Sparrow and is followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

Presentation – Business Employment Skills Team, Inc – Pam Furlan, Executive Director
Chairman Gouker will ask Ms. Furlan to attend the August County Board Meeting.

Chairman Gouker states there was discussion at the Executive Committee meeting to have the IEMA Director come before the board for a presentation on the “After Action Report”. The Director could not make it tonight as he is in DuPage County today. Chairman Gouker states he will try to schedule another date to have him at a County Board Meeting.

Approval of Minutes
Chairman Gouker asks for a motion to approve the June 17, 2014 Ogle County Board meeting minutes. Griffin makes the motion to approve, Nordman seconds and the motion carries on a voice vote.

Approval of Reports
Chairman Gouker asks for a motion to accept the monthly report of the Ogle County Clerk & Recorder, Treasurer, and Circuit Clerk. Petrizzo moves to accept the reports as filed, Bowers seconds and the motion carries on a voice vote.(Placed on file)

Appointment – Zoning Board of Appeals – Alternate 2 – James D. Reed – R-2014-0701
Chairman Gouker announces the recommendation of James D. Reed to the Zoning Board of Appeals as Alternate 2 for an unexpired term which ends April 30, 2016. Finfrock moves to approve R-2014-0701, Nordman seconds and the motion carries on a voice vote.(Placed on file)

Vacancies –
• Byron Museum District – 1 vacancy
• Franklin Grove Fire Protection District – 1 vacancy
• Ogle County Civic Center Authority – 6 vacancies

Application and Resumé deadline – Friday, August 1, 2014 at 4:30 p.m. in the County Clerk’s Office located at 105 S. 5th St – Suite 104, Oregon, IL

Zoning – #4-14 Amendment -- Jeffrey J. Schlamp, Admin. of Barry G. Schlamp Estate - O-2014-0701
Colson presents the petition of Jeffrey J. Schlamp, Admin. of Barry G. Schlamp Estate, 1975 Spinnaker Ct., Aurora, IL for an Amendment to the Zoning District to rezone from B-1 Business District to R-2 Single Family Residential District on property described as follows and owned by Barry G. Schlamp: Lot 1, Block 13, Original Town of Baileyville, part of the NE1/4 Fractional Section 5 Forreston Township 25N, R8E of the 4th P.M., Ogle County, IL – P.I.N.: 02-05-233-003 - Common Location: 110 Commercial St.. Colson moves to approve O-2014-0701, Meyers seconds and the motion carries on a voice vote.(Placed on file)

Zoning - #3-14 Special Use – Gene & Vicki Miller – O-2014-0702
Colson presents the petition of Gene & Vicki Miller, 7318 Stone School Rd., Leaf River, IL for a Special Use Permit to allow an Agribusiness (sale of seed, livestock/animal feed, bird food, pet food, salt, farm/agricultural products & supplies, and farm/country-related items) in the AG-1 Agricultural District on property described as follows and owned by petitioners: Part of the SW1/4 of the SE1/4 of Section 27 Byron Township 25N, R10E of the 4th P.M., Ogle County, IL,
6.58 acres, more or less – P.I.N.: 04-27-400-002 - Common Location: 700 E. IL Rte. 72. Colson moves to approve O-2014-0702, Janes seconds and the motion carries on a voice vote. (Placed on file)

**Zoning - #5-14 Amendment – Joan Hanlin – O-2014-0703**

Colson presents the petition of Joan Hanlin, 1674 E. Town Line Rd., Byron, IL for an Amendment to the Zoning District to rezone from AG-1 Agricultural District to R-1 Rural Residential District on property described as follows and owned by the petitioner: Part of the SW1/4 of the SE1/4 of Section 35 Byron Township 25N, R10E of the 4th P.M., Ogle County, IL, 5.0 acres, more or less – P.I.N.: Part of 04-35-400-032 - Common Location: 1674 E. Town Line Rd.. Colson moves to approve O-2014-0703, Bowers seconds and the motion carries on a voice vote. (Placed on file)

**Public Comment –**
- Kirkolis invites everyone to the Focus House 8th Annual 12-hour Relay tomorrow at Helms Field in Rochelle.
- Hopkins invites everyone to the Ogle County Fair which begins July 30th at the fairgrounds West of town.

**Road & Bridge – none**

**Ogle County Claims – Clerk reads the claims:**
- Department Claims – June 2014 - $6,182.45
- County Board Payments – July 15, 2014 - $137,696.85
- County Highway Fund – $31,670.62

Petrizzo moves to approve, Oltmanns seconds and the motion carries on a voice vote. (Placed on file)

**COMMITTEE REPORTS**

The following committee minutes have been placed on exhibit: County Infrastructure, County Security, Executive Committee, Finance & Insurance and Personnel, HEW & Solid Waste and Veterans, Judiciary and Circuit Clerk, Long Range and Strategic Planning, Planning Commission, Road & Bridge and Mapping (GIS), State’s Attorney and Juvenile & Probation and Supervisor of Assessments and Planning & Zoning.

The following committees had no minutes to file: 911 ETS Board, Agriculture Committee, Blackhawk Hills RC&D, Board of Health, G.I.S. Partnership, Liquor Commission, L.O.T.S., Mental Health 708 Board, Negotiations Committee, Public Aid Appeals, Safety Committee, Tri-County Opportunities and UCCI/ICRMT.

**Committee Reports –**

**Executive:**
- Workforce Development Week – R-2014-0702

**Finance:**
- Judges of Election – R-2014-0703
  - Chairman Gouker presents R-2014-0703 in regards to the Certified List of Candidates for Judges of Election. Typer moves to approve R-2014-0703, Sparrow seconds and the motion carries on a voice vote. (Placed on file)
- Group Health Insurance – R-2014-0704
  - Chairman Gouker presents R-2014-0704 for the Group Health Insurance. Gouker moves to approve R-2014-0704 and Sparrow seconds.
  - Chairman Gouker comments that the Insurance program we run is a $2 million plus business which we deposit $1.6 million into the General Fund from Taxpayers and $550,000 from the employees. In the future we will look into forming a committee which will include department heads, board members and employees, states Chairman Gouker. The Finance
Committee selection was based on the approach of risk management and cost control. The coordination of claims management along with the worker’s comprehensive program, Gouker states is important. This company currently administers the county’s worker’s comprehensive program and there is coordination that can be done since they can share information in regards to claims. The UCCI brand name is important with their reputation with County Government; they will be a strong advocate with thorough, timely and courteous claims management. One of the benefits of being a self-funded plan is the network and this one brings along a network that helps to negotiate discounts for medical care in our area. They are aggressive with negotiations for discounts and have local contact through the Crum Halsted Insurance Agency who has offices in Sycamore and Polo.

Chairman Gouker states the committee usually sets the premium in September as the plan year ends in July and they need time to analyze the claims experience. With our claims history trending down and the costs with either program; I can safely say there would probably not be a need for an increase in premiums this year since there isn’t much of a cost difference, says Chairman Gouker.

Chairman Gouker states since the committee approved the recommendation last week he has continued to work on this and negotiate with the IPMG/UMED program. Chairman Gouker distributes some new information as he was on the phone today with IPMG and has some numbers finalized.

Chairman Gouker explains the Aggregate Loss Fund Summary report which was a game changer and made things significantly different. All of the companies, who bid with the exception of the current company, didn’t have access to current data and bid on data through April. Chairman Gouker reviewed the newly negotiated figures on the information that was distributed this evening:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insurance Program</th>
<th>IPMG/UCCI</th>
<th>IPMG/UCCI</th>
<th>Group Adm./Query</th>
<th>DIFFERENCE COLUMN 3 vs. 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data Set*</td>
<td>thru Apr 2014</td>
<td>thru Jun 2014</td>
<td>thru Jun 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop-loss Premium</td>
<td>472,058.57</td>
<td>446,598.99</td>
<td>447,419.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Admin. Costs</td>
<td>45,721.68</td>
<td>45,721.68</td>
<td>62,658.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL FIXED COSTS</td>
<td>$517,780.25</td>
<td>$492,320.67</td>
<td>$510,077.04</td>
<td>$(17,756.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAX CLAIM LIABILITY</td>
<td>$2,675,040.24</td>
<td>$2,408,020.80</td>
<td>$2,391,083.00</td>
<td>$16,937.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Saunders asks Chairman Gouker if he allowed Query/Group Administrators to change their bid as well. Chairman Gouker says no he did not since their bid already had the current data through June. Saunders asks if everyone was aware that as of January 1st the outside agencies had left the County Group Health Insurance and that is why the claims have been down. Saunders also asks if there had been any complaints about Group Administrators from the employees. I’m sure all of us have received the same e-mails from employees in regards to the service Query has provided in regards to helping to get testing and procedures done that had been denied. Saunders states she does business with Crum Halsted in Polo and they have not dealt with employee benefits. Chairman Gouker states that is correct, they do not handle employee benefits out of the Polo Office. The Finance Committee reviewed the bids and has made the recommendation of a company who is not the lowest bidder, says Saunders. Chairman Gouker states they are now. When IPMG was able to quote on the claims experience through June as Group Administrators did; it is now an apples-to-apples comparison. The original IPMG/UCCI quote included an optional wellness program annual cost of $8,390; since the Group Administrator quote did not include this wellness program, the $8,390 was deducted from the Stop-Loss Premium cost for the IPMG/UCCI program, stated Chairman Gouker. Saunders asks how are IPMG bound by the new figures given this evening versus their quote. Chairman Gouker states the resolution is not to exceed $525,840.00.

Hopkins asks what the point of going through the bid process is if you are going to let them change their figures from the original bid that has been submitted. Chairman Gouker states IPMG has current information that was not available to them but was available to the current insurance company. Chairman Gouker says this allows the county to have the best competitive premium we can have on behalf of the health plan.

Kenney comments for years John Coffman the Ogle County Treasurer has been the insurance representative for Ogle County and receives a stipend. Sometime over the last couple
of years Coffman has lost the insurance representative position. It appears you have taken this over without the consent of the board. Would you care to respond to that? As a committee chairman it is how I have operated as a committee chairman for many years. When we accept a bid such as we do on construction the bids come into the County Clerk’s Office and I feel it was fair to do the same thing for this bid. This way they are submitted to the County Clerk’s Office where you know they are true and we open them. Kenney would like to know at what time did the board give you the authority to take over all of the insurance. I am trying to figure out who put you in charge of this particular process. You are the Chairman and I respect that, but what I don’t see is where this gives you the authority to take a responsibility from an elected official who is paid for this responsibility and force him out, states Kenney. Chairman Gouker states any committee Chairman is given the latitude to operate that committee as they see fit. We have never come back for a change in process for any other committee. As the committee Chairman, that is the way I felt we would have a balanced approach. Kenney states he is not comfortable with the information given as it seems to be fragmented. There are several of us board members who have somewhat of an insurance background and understand some of the things you are saying. There are other things that I do not understand and don’t know where you are coming from because I question the validity of what you are saying. This is a professional difference. Kenney states we have a gentleman who has been making those decisions and there have been no problems. Now we have one person who has decided to do it differently and this is the most narcissistic approach to business management I have ever seen in my life. Chairman Gouker states any chairman can operate their committee as they see fit. I saw this as the best way to handle it, it’s not like I haven’t any communication with Coffman. When we were finalizing the companies that were going to present their bids a couple of weeks ago; I consulted with Coffman on how to handle it. Chairman Gouker states he has 40 years of experience in insurance. Kenney states you have a license to sell, how much of this do you sell? Chairman Gouker replies he doesn’t sell this type any more. I started my career in group insurance and understand it; so I feel like I am qualified to be able to discuss this.

Gronewold asks for clarification as to which amount we are approving tonight; is it the $492,320 premium and not the $525,840. Chairman Gouker states yes, for the fixed costs and in actuality we are approving to contract UCCI/IPMG/UMED to handle the group health insurance for 12 months and effective August 1, 2014. Gronewold asks if Gouker will be able to negotiate further. Chairman Gouker states there is an option which was originally presented and he would like to explore which was $100,000 less. Gronewold states he voted no in the committee and will probably vote no again tonight. Gronewold is concerned with the statement made by Chairman Gouker that there would not be a rate increase to the employees without it going through the Finance Committee. Chairman Gouker states he does not anticipate a rate increase. Chairman Gouker states with the fixed costs as they are presented this evening; if there is a 2% increase with one company then there would be a 2% increase with the other company as well.

Petrizzo states Mr. Query has his hand up and would like to have Query speak about the whole bidding process. Chairman Gouker states this discussion is for the board and if there is a specific question to be clarified; any board member can ask for clarification. Petrizzo thinks it is inappropriate for Chairman Gouker to go back after a different bid after the bid process was complete. The lowest responsible bidder was presented to the Finance Committee by Query and the Finance Committee chose the 2nd to the lowest bidder. Chairman Gouker states there are two parts to the lowest responsible bidder; the lowest responsible bidder is not the same as the lowest bidder. This happens all the time in construction and architectural work; the committee felt the program we are recommending will be more responsible in addressing the claims which makes them the lowest responsible bid. Petrizzo would like to have Query address the board in regards to the comments made in your presentation tonight. Chairman Gouker asks Petrizzo if he has a specific question. Petrizzo wants Query to react to the comments made this evening. Petrizzo states this is a public board. Chairman Gouker states he understands that, however we are a board of 24 board members to have discussion. If you have a specific question to be clarified by Mr. Query you can ask that question. Petrizzo asks why we are afraid to have the current insurance agency speak as to what is going on here this evening. Chairman Gouker states public discussion is held during the public comment period during the board meeting. The discussion at the board meeting is for the board members to ask questions amongst themselves. Petrizzo states he would like Mr. Query to respond to the comments. Chairman Gouker states if Petrizzo has a specific question for Mr. Query he can ask that, because we don’t conduct our business with general discussion. Petrizzo says he thinks it was inappropriate for Chairman Gouker to gather additional information from one of the bidders and run it against a bid that did not change. Chairman Gouker explains apparently one bidder had data available through April and the other bidder had data for claims available through January;
because they didn’t have that data available that would have resulted in a $25,000 increase in premium. That you would rather stay with the $25,000 higher? Petrizzo states he doesn’t feel it is fair to have the bids adjusted after sealed bids were submitted. Chairman Gouker states the committee made the recommendation based on those bids and he didn’t know that could change until the data came at the meeting. Chairman Gouker asked at the committee meeting if the data was available. I would think you would want me on behalf of the employees who will pay the premiums, to make sure the cost is the lowest as possible, states Chairman Gouker. Petrizzo agrees and states that is what Gronewold brought up in regards to you pledging that the rates are going to freeze or be lower; that was never discussed with the committee meeting and would like clarification. Chairman Gouker states insurance programs are always bid on a unit cost and we are always going to change the number of employees throughout the year. The unit costs are guaranteed and if we carry the same number of employees all through the year.

Typer states they did discuss in the aggregate of the potential of pieces of information at the committee meeting. Petrizzo states we did not discuss a new set of numbers. Typer states we did discuss when new information became available we would renegotiate the figures with the carrier.

Chairman Gouker would like to discuss an additional option which would add a $100,000 deductible to the Maximum Aggregate cost of $2,408,020. When you hit the Maximum Aggregate of $2,408,020 all of the claims are paid by the insurance company and there would be an additional $100,000 to pay.

Chairman Gouker states insurance programs are always bid on a unit cost and we are always going to change the number of employees throughout the year. Chairman Gouker asked at the committee meeting if the data was available. I would think you would want me on behalf of the employees who will pay the premiums, to make sure the cost is the lowest as possible, states Chairman Gouker. Petrizzo agrees and states that is what Gronewold brought up in regards to you pledging that the rates are going to freeze or be lower; that was never discussed with the committee meeting and would like clarification. Chairman Gouker states insurance programs are always bid on a unit cost and we are always going to change the number of employees throughout the year. The unit costs are guaranteed and if we carry the same number of employees all through the year.

Typer states they did discuss in the aggregate of the potential of pieces of information at the committee meeting. Petrizzo states we did not discuss a new set of numbers. Typer states we did discuss when new information became available we would renegotiate the figures with the carrier.

Chairman Gouker would like to discuss an additional option which would add a $100,000 deductible to the Maximum Aggregate cost of $2,408,020. When you hit the Maximum Aggregate of $2,408,020 all of the claims are paid by the insurance company and there would be an additional $100,000 to pay.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insurance Program</th>
<th>IPMG/UCCI</th>
<th>IPMG/UCCI Alternate Price</th>
<th>DIFFERENCE COLUMN 2 vs. 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stop-loss Premium</td>
<td>$ 454,988.99</td>
<td>$ 349,313.40</td>
<td>$ (105,675.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Admin. Costs</td>
<td>$ 45,721.68</td>
<td>$ 45,721.68</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL FIXED COSTS</td>
<td>$ 500,710.67</td>
<td>$ 395,035.08</td>
<td>$ (105,675.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAX CLAIM LIABILITY</td>
<td>$ 2,408,020.80</td>
<td>$ 2,508,020.80</td>
<td>$ 100,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sparrow states his objective is to choose the lowest possible option to be sure the employees and the county does not get hit with a 23% increase. Sparrow states through negotiations he is looking forward to having this Labor Management Committee to help with the costs of healthcare. Saunders is concerned because the last time the county didn’t chose the lowest bidder we were sued. State’s Attorney Mike Rock states we could be sued, but that doesn’t mean we will be sued. This is a policy matter for the board to decide, states Rock. Saunders asks why are we sending Sparrow to meetings for Economic Development and we are taking $3 million from the county. Chairman Gouker states it’s more like $2.2 million which will go to the various hospitals that does not change.

Bowers asks if the reduction in premium will decrease the services provided and are the employees of the county going to need to go to new providers. Chairman Gouker states the network carries primarily the same doctor groups and does not change coverage.

Nordman states you can keep your old provider but there may be a provider that is not covered but that happens whenever there is a change in insurance. Nordman says she doesn’t think the Finance Committee came upon their decision lightly by any means. When I left the meeting from the bid opening I thought that Query had the best bid. Then when you look at cost difference because of the 9 months of claims data versus the 12 months of claims data used for their bids, IPMG was the best.

A Roll call vote is called:

YES: Brooks, Finfrock, Griffin, Heuer, Janes, Nordman, O’Brien, Simms, Sparrow, Typer and Gouker

NO: Colbert, Colson, Gronewold, Hopkins, Kenney, Kirkolis, Meyers, Oltmanns, Petrizzo, Saunders and Bowers

ABSENT: McKinney and Welty

The motion to approve R-2014-0704 as presented is defeated on a roll call vote.
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Hopkins makes the motion to approve Query/Group Administrators program in an amount not to exceed $510,000 and Kenney seconds. A Roll Call vote is called:

YES: Colbert, Colson, Gronewold, Heuer, Hopkins, Kenney, Kirkolis, Meyers, Oltmanns, Petrizzo, Saunders, Bowers and Brooks

NO: Finfrock, Griffin, Janes, Nordman, O’Brien, Simms, Sparrow, Typer and Gouker

ABSENT: McKinney and Welty

The motion carries on a roll call vote. (Placed on file)

H.E.W. & Solid Waste:
  Bowers presents and moves to approve R-2014-0705 for the IEPA Solid Waste Enforcement Grant Agreement which is a grant we approve annually, Griffin seconds and the motion carries on a voice vote. (Placed on file)

Long Range Planning:
- Griffin thanks Rockford Structures Greg Suther for the Sheriff’s Administration Building pictures being shown on the projectors this evening. The project is moving along very smoothly and appreciates everything Mr. Suther has done for the county.
- Griffin also explains information which has been distributed this evening in regards to the Jail Roof Repair (Exhibit B showing costs), Bollards and Planters and Long Range Budget figures.
- Long Range Bills – R-2014-0706
  Griffin presents and moves to approve R-2014-0706 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUPPLIER NAME</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saavedra Gehlhausen Architects</td>
<td>Construction Phase and</td>
<td>$3,452.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SGA Reimb. Expenses for</td>
<td>96.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sheriff's Admin. Center - June 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockford Structures Constr. Co.</td>
<td>Sheriff's Admin Building - Appl #2</td>
<td>$131,714.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockford Register Star</td>
<td>Bid Notification – Jail Roof Replacement</td>
<td>$869.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochelle News Leader</td>
<td>Bid Notification - Jail Roof Replacement</td>
<td>$453.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$136,586.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Simms seconds and the motion carries on a voice vote. (Placed on file)

- Jail Roof Repair – R-2014-0707
  Griffin presents and moves to approve R-2014-0707 for the Ogle County Corrections Office 2014 Roof Replacement and reads the following from Exhibit B which was not included in the packets but has been distributed this evening:
  
  $120,200.00 to McDermaid Roofing & Insulating Co.  
  $28,700.00 for materials provided through US Communities on behalf of the County

  Simms seconds. Colson states the repair is definitely needed and has been delayed too long, but the placement in the Long Range Infrastructure money when it is clearly a maintenance item is not an appropriate expenditure from this fund. The motion carries on a voice vote. (Placed on file)

- Sheriff’s Administration Building - Bid Alternate #12 – R-2014-0708
  Griffin presents and moves to approve R-2014-0708 to approve $29,200.00 for Bollards and Planters in front of the Sheriff’s Administration Building. Griffin states KJWW and Saavedra Gehlhausen say this is not a requirement but it is a standard for this type of building in the industry. Kirkolis seconds.
Meyers questions the need for the Bollards and Planters because of the cost; there is a curb in front of the building to stop cars. He feels they are expensive at the cost of the taxpayers. Chairman Gouker states we have Bollards and Planters in front of the courthouse for safety. Oltmanns also states it is for safety purposes so a vehicle cannot ram into the building.

A roll call vote is called:

YES: Colson, Finfrock, Griffin, Heuer, Janes, Kirkolis, Nordman, O’Brien, Oltmanns, Petrizzo, Simms, Sparrow, Typer, Bowers, Brooks, Colbert and Gouker

NO: Gronewold, Hopkins, Kenney, Meyers and Saunders

ABSENT: McKinney and Welty

The motion carries on a roll call vote.(Placed on file)

State’s Attorney & Juvenile & Probation:

- Closed Minutes – R-2014-0709
  Frinfrock presents and makes the motion to approve R-2014-0709 to open certain minutes of closed meetings. Heuer thanks the committee for reviewing the meeting minutes and keeping the County Board in compliance. Finfrock thanks State’s Attorney Rock, Colbert and Nordman for their assistance. Oltmanns seconds and the motion carries on a voice vote.(Placed on file)

- Video Gaming Ordinance – O-2014-0704
  Finfrock presents and moves to approve O-2014-0704 to allow Video Gaming in the unincorporated areas of the county. Other municipalities have adopted and allowed Video Gaming and there are about 13 businesses in the county who are struggling because they are losing business. Sparrow seconds.

  Sparrow states he has been open about bringing this issue before the board to be reconsidered. Every municipality in Ogle County has voted to allow Video Gaming in their community. The 13 Class A and Class A-1 businesses within the unincorporated part of the county have noticed a decline in their business and would like to see video gaming in the unincorporated areas. There are 42 establishments that have terminals and 70 establishments have applied. Sparrow states when the annual liquor licenses were delivered this year by the Chairman and Vice-Chairman they were approached to allow video gaming in the unincorporated areas of the county.

  Meyers thanks Sparrow for being open about bringing this issue back to the committee to revise and back to the board for reconsideration.

  Petrizzo states he will support this because it will level the playing field for the establishments in the unincorporated areas and thanks Sparrow for distributing revenue sheets showing the usage in other areas.

  Kenney states he was conservative the first time round but he has reevaluated his position and doesn’t see or hear of any problems with this venture. Kenney will be supporting this and will vote in favor of this to help the disadvantaged establishments.

  Chairman Gouker says the Video Gaming Ordinance will not allow just a gaming only establishment in the county. There are restrictions listed in the Ordinance; the establishment must have a Class A or Class A-1 Ogle County Liquor License and at least 65% of their sales from liquor, food and non-gaming income and we are limiting the number of Class A and Class A-1 liquor licenses.

  A roll call vote is called:

  YES: Finfrock, Griffin, Gronewold, Heuer, Kenney, Kirkolis, Meyers, O’Brien, Petrizzo, Saunders, Sparrow, Typer, Bowers, Brooks, Colbert, Colson and Gouker
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NO: Hopkins, Janes, Nordman, Oltmanns and Simms

ABSENT: McKinney and Welty

The motion carries on a roll call vote.(Placed on file)

- **Amend Liquor Ordinance to allow Video Gaming – O-2014-0705**
  Finfrock presents and moves to approve O-2014-0705 to amend the Ogle County Liquor Ordinance to allow Video Gaming in the county and Sparrow seconds. Chairman Gouker asks if anyone would like a roll call vote; there being none, the motion carries on a voice vote.(Placed on file)

- **Amend Liquor Ordinance Class A & Class A-1 – O-2014-0706**
  Finfrock presents and moves to approve O-2014-0706 to amend the Ogle County Liquor Ordinance Section 4-2C-5B – Parts 1 and 2 which states 65% of their sales from liquor, food and non-gaming income and the annual fee for Class V license will be $0. The State’s Attorney has reviewed the State Statute and it does not allow us to charge for the license, but we are able to collect $25 fee per machine. Sparrow seconds and the motion carries on a voice vote.(Placed on file)

- **Amend Liquor Ordinance – Number of Licenses – O-2014-0707**
  Finfrock presents and moves to approve O-2014-0707 to amend the Ogle County Liquor Ordinance to limit the number of Class A and/or A-1 licenses to 13 and Sparrow seconds. Chairman Gouker states we are reducing the number of Class A and/or A-1 liquor licenses from 20 to 13.

  Chairman Gouker states the County Clerk has informed him of a potential business who has inquired about liquor license for a food establishment. Chairman Gouker amends the motion to allow 14 Class A and/or A-1 licenses and Sparrow seconds. Saunders asks if we should wait and see if the business comes to Ogle County. Chairman Gouker says this will attract the business knowing that there is a license available.

  Heuer would like to have the motion to allow 15 Class A and/or A-1 licenses this will make Ogle County look more welcoming to new businesses. Chairman Gouker will amend his motion to allow no more than 15 Class A and/or A-1 licenses and Sparrow seconds. The amended motion carries on a voice vote.

  The motion to approve O-2014-0707 carries on a voice vote.(Placed on file)

Sparrow thanks the County Board for reconsideration and approving the Ordinances tonight.

Chairman Comments:
- The Rockford Area Economic Development Council has presented Ogle County with a new membership plaque.

Vice-Chairman Comments: none

Unfinished Business –
- Hopkins updates the board on the status of County Board Member Bruce McKinney.
- Meyers thanks Tina Ketter of the Ogle County Life Newspaper and Chairman Gouker for including the business of the County Board in the newspaper this month and hopes this continues.
- Petrizzo asks for an update in regards to the Tow Fund Audit. Chairman Gouker says Sikich is still working on the data and have not given information as to a date when it will be completed. Chairman Gouker is hoping to have information at the next meeting.
- Bowers reminds members of the HEW Committee of the Solid Waste meeting tomorrow morning at 11:00 a.m..

New Business –

Communications –
- Sales Tax for April 2013 was $29,337.54 and $66,900.84
- Sales Tax for April 2014 was $24,769.36 and $68,475.98
Adjourn Meeting

At 7:19 p.m., Finfrock moves to adjourn the Ogle County Board Meeting until Tuesday, August 19, 2014 at 5:30 p.m. at the Ogle County Courthouse in Oregon. Bowers seconds and the motion carries on a voice vote.

Chairman Kim P. Gouker
Ogle County Board

Attest: Rebecca Huntley, Ogle County Clerk &
Ex-officio Clerk of the Ogle County Board